



# HOME SICK


How Medical Debt  
Undermines Housing  
Security



**By Robert W. Seifert**

The Access Project  
November 2005

The Access Project (TAP) has served as a resource center for local communities working to improve health and healthcare access since 1998. The mission of TAP is to strengthen community action, promote social change, and improve health, especially for those who are most vulnerable. TAP conducts community action research in conjunction with local leaders to improve the quality of relevant information needed to change the health system. TAP's fiscal sponsor is Third Sector New England, a non-profit with more than 40 years of experience in public and community health projects. TAP is affiliated with the Heller School for Social Policy and Management at Brandeis University.



The Access Project  
89 South Street, Suite 404  
Boston, MA 02111  
(617) 654-9911  
Fax: (617) 654-9922  
[www.accessproject.org](http://www.accessproject.org)

# Contents

---

Executive Summary	1
I. Introduction	5
II. What We Knew: Past Research on Medical Debt	7
III. Methods	11
IV. Survey Findings	12
V. Discussion	18
VI. Policy Recommendations	20
VII. Conclusion	23
Appendices	24
Endnotes	27
Acknowledgements	29





# Executive Summary

**A**ccess to health care depends to a great degree on the ability to pay for it, either with insurance or out of one's own pocket. Even when uninsured people or those with inadequate insurance are able to get care, they usually receive a bill for it, which can subsequently wreak havoc in the form of excessive debt, damaged credit and other financial misfortune. This report is about how medical debt affects one important aspect of people's lives—their housing situation.

We surveyed nearly 1,700 low and moderate income people in seven locations<sup>1</sup> who were filing income tax returns in Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) sites. Many were eligible to claim the federal Earned Income Tax Credit. Nearly half of these respondents reported having medical debt.

## Key Findings

The survey shows important connections between medical debt and significant financial hardships:


### Housing problems were common

More than one-quarter of respondents with debt said housing problems resulted from the debt. Problems included:

- the inability to qualify for a mortgage
- the inability to make rent or mortgage payments
- being turned down from renting a home
- being forced to move to less expensive housing.

In addition, some people said they have been evicted or were now homeless because of medical debt.

These findings establish medical debt as a barrier to important elements of economic advancement, namely asset development and housing security. Respondents in all racial and ethnic categories, as well as all income categories captured in the survey, were substantially affected.



### Bad credit was a frequent result of medical debt

Our survey found that most people did not know whether their medical debt was on their credit reports, but of those who did know, three in five said it had damaged their credit. Damaged credit affects people's ability to secure a mortgage or to rent an apartment. It may also be a barrier to employment and auto or home insurance, and has other repercussions as well. The effects of damaged credit linger: a delinquent account can remain on a credit report for seven years.


Respondents whose medical debts appeared on their credit reports were twice as likely to experience housing problems as those whose credit reports did not include medical debt. Respondents with medical debt who are not yet aware of their credit status are likely to find their credit hurt—an unwelcome surprise when trying to buy a home or access other credit.

## One out of six respondents with medical debts less than \$500 said that the debt had harmed their credit

Unlike many other types of debt, medical debt usually can only harm a credit rating, not help it. When medical providers and their collection agents report debt to credit bureaus, they typically only do so when payments have *not* been made. This negative-only treatment of medical debt is all the more inequitable because it is one of the few types of debt that are involuntarily acquired. Thus this "accidental debt," even if relatively small, essentially acts as a sickness tax—on top of the bills themselves and possible lost employment income—by damaging credit.

### Relatively small debts had far-reaching effects

One out of six respondents with medical debts less than \$500 said that the debt had harmed their credit. Twelve percent of respondents with this level of debt reported having housing problems.



The financial pressure that these housing and economic disadvantages create seems out of proportion to the modest level of debt that brings them on. Adding to this pressure is the additional finding that housing problems become more likely as medical debt lingers.

### Health insurance did not provide thorough protection

The respondents with medical debt in our survey more often than not had health insurance at the time the debt was incurred. One out of five respondents who had health insurance said their medical debt had led to housing problems. Though the lack of health insurance put people at great risk for medical debt and its consequences, those with insurance were by no means immune. People with insurance, particularly those who can be considered "underinsured," are responsible for increasing shares of the health care costs, through deductibles and copayments, or through out-of-pocket payment for uncovered services. That health insurance seems not to have served its fundamental purpose for many survey respondents warrants further attention in the public policy arena.

## Past Research

This large scale survey of low and moderate income, working age adults verifies a central finding of a number of recent studies by the Commonwealth Fund, the Kaiser Family Foundation, The Access Project and others: medical debt is a common phenomenon. A variety of national surveys found significant levels of medical debt, especially among low income people, those under 65 years old, and those without health insurance (though a majority of adults with medical debt problems were insured at the time the debt was incurred). Effects of the debts included limited access to health care, inability to accumulate savings and purchase basic necessities, collection actions such as wage garnishment and home liens, personal bankruptcy and, in the extreme, incarceration.

Community-based research that The Access Project and others have done had comparable findings. In exploring the extent and consequences of medical debt, The Access Project and its local partners heard recurring anecdotes about medical debt acting as a barrier to home ownership. The desire to investigate this question more thoroughly gave rise to this study.


## Areas for Policy Recommendations

### Medical Providers

Medical providers can contribute to solving the problem by reducing the amount of medical debt they create and changing practices, the effects of which cascade into additional financial difficulties. Actions might include instituting reasonable billing and collection practices and adequately screening patients for eligibility in public insurance programs. In addition, other types of providers (such as doctors' offices, medical labs, ambulance services) have not been held to account on this issue to the same extent as hospitals. Further scrutiny and standards regarding providers' relationships with collection agents, lenders, and credit bureaus would bring focus to additional policy solutions.

### Insurers

That people with health insurance are affected by medical debt and its consequences almost



to the same degree as those without, calls into question the adequacy of some insurance in fulfilling its primary purpose: protecting the insured from financial catastrophe. Standards for adequate coverage, including cost sharing obligations that are proportionate to family incomes, might be explored, as well as programs to provide benefits to the communities in which insurers operate.

Further research is also needed to understand the relative influences of various shortcomings in health insurance—excessively high deductibles, breaks in coverage, uncovered services—on medical debt and resulting problems. Knowledge of this sort will help policy makers determine how standards for adequate coverage could be set, so that the purpose of health insurance as financial protection might be restored and maintained.

#### Lenders and Affiliated Organizations

Lenders might reasonably be asked to develop explicit policies for segregating medical debts in considering an applicant's eligibility for credit, but this presumes that medical debt is readily identifiable as such on a credit report. Given the atypical nature of medical debt and the commonly expressed policy to treat it differently, one might question the need for health care providers to report these debts to credit bureaus at all. Lenders, creditors, credit bureaus and regulators should consider ways to prevent medical debt from ever tarnishing a credit record, including rules to prohibit medical providers and their agents from reporting medical debt to credit agencies.

**If there is a more vulnerable circumstance for a person to be in than being ill, it is probably to be ill and in debt**

#### Conclusion

If there is a more vulnerable circumstance for a person to be in than being ill, it is probably to be ill and in debt. This report has shown that such vulnerability is not rare among our survey respondents. To compound this, medical debt often results in housing and credit problems, which in turn may bring about further crippling financial difficulties.

Repairing the health care system—controlling costs, improving quality, ensuring access and eliminating disparities—is a challenge of national scope that has, to date, been maddeningly elusive. Smaller victories are achievable, however. One place to look is eliminating the financial penalty imposed on people for getting sick. Remedies—on the part of policy makers, medical providers, insurers and lenders—are at hand. All that is needed is action.

# I. Introduction

"Anyone can get health care if they really need it." This is a typical response to the reality of growing numbers of uninsured and inadequately insured people in the United States.<sup>2</sup> Americans believe that a safety net of emergency rooms, free clinics and other facilities are available to provide care to those without the means to pay for it, so that no one need go entirely without health care services.

This belief is false. A large body of evidence has established that access to health care depends to a great degree on the ability to pay for it, either with insurance or out of one's own pocket. This research has brought to light another consequence of this situation: even when people are able to get care, they usually receive a bill for it, which can wreak subsequent havoc on their finances and access to health care. Those bills, and their effects on people's lives, are the subjects of this report.

The Access Project has been exploring the issue of medical debt for several years, since the issue emerged through some of its community-based research as a significant but relatively unremarked barrier to health care.<sup>3</sup> Further examination exposed significant financial consequences of the debt as well.<sup>4</sup> At the same time, community organizations across the country are engaged in these issues at the local and regional levels through their health care advocacy, economic improvement and community organizing activities. The Access Project has joined forces with a number of these groups—ACORN chapters in Bridgeport, CT; Providence, RI; Atlanta, GA and Phoenix, AZ; The United Way of Palm Beach County, FL; ISED Ventures in Des Moines, IA; the Community Action Project of Tulsa, OK; and the Center for Health Law Studies at the St. Louis University School of Law, MO—to produce this report, which is based on surveys conducted in eight communities.

## **Even relatively small amounts of debt—\$500 or less—created housing problems for substantial numbers of people**

Findings from the survey corroborate earlier research on the scope and effects of medical debt.

Nearly half of the 1,692 survey respondents said they had medical debt, and debt was reported to a roughly equivalent extent among all ethnic categories and all income ranges captured in the survey. The surveys also reveal a previously unexplored consequence of medical debt—that it affects low-income families' efforts to own, rent, or maintain their homes.

Our key findings indicate:

- More than a quarter of respondents with medical debt said that the debt resulted in housing problems such as the inability to qualify for a mortgage, to make mortgage or rent payments, or to secure or maintain a home.
- Respondents whose medical debts appeared on their credit reports were twice as likely to experience housing problems as those whose credit reports did not include medical debts.
- Even relatively small amounts of debt—\$500 or less—created housing problems for substantial numbers of people.

- Having health insurance did not sufficiently protect many families from either medical debt or the resulting credit and housing problems.
- Medical debt is "accidental debt" that creates a cascade of consequences that ultimately limit the ability of families with moderate incomes to have a secure place to live.

The first section of the report describes past research on medical debt and its consequences. Succeeding sections describe the survey, the survey findings, implications of those findings, and suggested areas for policy changes that could mitigate housing problems related to medical debt.

## **PERSONAL ACCOUNT:**

### **The price of bad luck, chronic illness leads to job loss, debt, eviction**

Laura is a thirty-three year old woman with diabetes and a single mother of two children, ages five and thirteen. In 1996, while living in Colorado, Laura had to be hospitalized due to a diabetes-related health crisis. She was unable to work at this time and subsequently lost her job. Crushed by ongoing health care expenses and a backload of debt, most of which was directly or indirectly related to her diabetes care, she filed for bankruptcy later that year. Laura went back to work in December 2001, but in 2003 she became very sick once again, fell behind in her bill payments, and accrued thousands of dollars in debt. Unable to keep up with her rent, Laura and her two children were evicted from their apartment in November 2003.

Laura and her children moved to Iowa in 2004 to be nearer to family. She found a new job that provides health insurance, and her children received health coverage through Iowa's state health insurance program, hawk-i. Despite health coverage and earnings of \$38,000 per year, the family's housing situation is still unstable. Laura's efforts to pay down \$20,000 of debt—\$5,000 of which is owed directly for medical expenses—her ongoing health issues, and the costs of child care make paying the \$700 per month rent for her affordable housing unit a constant challenge.

# What We Knew: Past Research on Medical Debt

**M**edical debt is money owed for medical services or products, such as hospital or physician's care, prescription drugs and ambulance services. It may be money owed directly to the provider of the service, to an agent of the provider, or to another source (such as a credit card or other lender) that may have been used to pay a bill. The debt itself may represent an entire bill or just the portion for which an individual is responsible, such as a copayment or deductible for a service that is covered by insurance. What is common about medical debt is that it is usually involuntarily acquired in pursuit of a service on which a person's well being (and sometimes life) depends, it is usually not planned for, and it is widespread across the population of the United States.

## Although uninsured people are the most obvious victims of medical debt, people with health insurance are also at risk

This section of the report sets the context for the new information, particularly concerning housing consequences of medical debt, which we have discovered from our survey.

### Prevalence of Medical Debt

Numerous studies have confirmed that a large portion of the population of the United States experiences medical debt. These studies looked at the issue both nationally and locally, and the findings are consistent. The Commonwealth Fund's 2003 Biennial Health Insurance Survey reported that, nationally, about a third (32%) of adults under age 65 had a medical bill problem (unable to pay a bill, contacted by a collection agency about a medical bill, or had to change way of life significantly to pay medical bills) in the past 12

months, and that one in six (16%) had recent or accrued medical debt. Overall, two in five (41%) non-elderly adults had recent medical bill problems or accrued medical debt.

The number grows to three in five (60%) for people who were uninsured at any time during the past year.<sup>5</sup> Another recent survey found that one American in five (21%) had a medical bill *currently* overdue.<sup>6</sup> Other national surveys, while not as detailed on the matter of medical debt, found similar numbers of people reporting difficulty paying medical bills.<sup>7</sup>

At the community level, Access Project research has found a comparable level of medical debt. In a survey of uninsured people in 24 communities, 60 percent of respondents said they needed help paying their medical bills, and nearly half (46%) reported having unpaid bills or being in debt to the facility where they received care.<sup>8</sup> Another study found that nearly 40 percent of households seeking credit counseling services at an agency in Florida reported that a medical event contributed to their debt problems.<sup>9</sup>

### Characteristics of People with Medical Debt

The widespread incidence of medical debt makes it unsurprising that, while more vulnerable groups are more likely to experience medical debt, all economic and demographic groups are affected. For example, while nearly half (44%) of adults with incomes up to twice the federal poverty level<sup>10</sup> had medical bill or debt problems, almost a third (29%) of adults with higher incomes also reported such problems. About half (52%) of African Americans and a third (34%) of Latinos had debt problems, but so did nearly a third (28%) of Whites. More than two in five (43%) adults in poorer health had bill and debt problems, but almost a quarter (23%) of the healthiest people did as well. And as mentioned earlier, three-fifths of people who had been without health insurance at any time in the past year had medical bill and debt problems, but a substantial percentage of people who were continuously insured (35%) did too.<sup>11</sup>

Although uninsured people are the most obvious victims of medical debt, people with health insurance are also at risk. The Commonwealth Fund study found that a majority (62%) of non-elderly adults with medical bill or debt problems were insured at the time the bill was incurred.<sup>12</sup> That finding calls attention to the growing problem of health insurance that does not fulfill its central purpose: to shelter the insured person from excessive financial risk.



The increasing prominence of health plans that require greater contributions from individuals through higher deductibles and copayments means that more insured people—particularly those at the lower end of the income spectrum—will face financial burdens that do not differ greatly from those facing the uninsured.

A recent study conservatively estimated that there were about 16 million "underinsured" adults in 2003, in addition to the 45 million uninsured. The access, care, and financial experiences of the underinsured were more similar to those of the uninsured than to those with adequate insurance coverage.<sup>13</sup> The inadequacy of insurance coverage is another theme of this study, as will be discussed later in this report.

### Effects of Medical Debt

People with medical debt experience effects in terms of both their access to health care and their financial well-being. Nationally, people with medical debt are much more likely than those without to skip a medical treatment or follow-up; and less likely to fill a prescription, see a specialist when needed, or see a doctor when having a medical problem.<sup>14</sup> Three out of five (60%) people whose medical debt contributed to their need to file bankruptcy went without a needed doctor or dentist visit, and half failed to fill a prescription.<sup>15</sup>

Past community research that The Access Project has done with local partners supports these findings. In a survey of uninsured

people in 24 communities, nearly one-quarter of people with unpaid bills said the debts would deter them from seeking care at the same facility in the future.<sup>16</sup> In a study of people with medical debt in two communities in Massachusetts, about three-quarters (73%) of respondents who delayed getting care because of debt said it was because they were uncomfortable about the bills, 30 percent said they were asked to pay up front, and 14 percent said they were denied care altogether.<sup>17</sup>

The gamut of financial effects of medical debt runs from nuisance to nightmare. It is typical for a person owing money for medical bills to hear from a collection agency; 21 percent of *all* non-elderly adults in the Commonwealth Fund survey had been contacted by collection agents about medical bills in the past year.<sup>18</sup> Some debt counselors consider medical providers to be among the most aggressive collectors.<sup>19</sup> Medical debt also inhibits people's ability to accumulate savings and to purchase basic necessities (food, heat, rent, telephone service).<sup>20</sup> Moreover, the consequences of medical debt sometimes extend even further. People who owe medical bills often find themselves in court and subsequently subject to legal judgments that might include wage garnishment and liens on their homes, sometimes leading to foreclosure.<sup>21</sup> In some cases, failure to comply with court ordered repayment plans have actually landed medical debtors in jail.<sup>22</sup> And medical expenses or lost income due to illness or injury are factors in about half of all personal bankruptcies, about two million people per year.<sup>23</sup>

### What's Next: Motivation for This Study

In exploring the extent and consequences of medical debt with its local partners around the country, Access Project staff have frequently heard about these consequences of medical debt. Another consequence was also commonly related: that medical debt was a barrier to home ownership because it prevented people from qualifying for mortgages. If this is, in fact, a common phenomenon, and if medical debt contributes to other housing difficulties

as well, it presents a serious challenge both to people who work hard to provide for their families and improve their economic standing—home ownership is a major engine of wealth accumulation for middle class families—and to policy makers who want to strengthen communities by promoting such behavior. The remainder of this report explores how medical debt influences families' housing and overall economic stability.

### **PERSONAL ACCOUNT:**

#### **Medical bills build barrier to home-buying**

Cynthia and Ronnie are a married couple with four children living in Palm Beach County, Florida. Cynthia has worked for the Pahokee Housing Authority for 8 years and Ronnie has worked at the City of Belle Glade's sanitation department for a year and a half. Together, their family income is just under \$43,000 per year. Cynthia and Ronnie wanted to become homeowners, so they accessed their credit report through a Credit Counseling Services office. They were surprised to learn that they had accumulated over \$3,000 of medical debt during the past five years despite having had insurance coverage. Cynthia's debt stems from co-payments for the hospital care she received when her child was born, and Ronnie's comes from the costs of surgery that he underwent a few years ago while unemployed and covered by Medicaid. In both cases, they believed these costs would be covered by their respective insurance programs. Paying down their medical debt—as well as a small amount of other debt which they could probably complete in a few months' time—will be difficult for their family, even with two working adults. Cynthia and Ronnie's dream of buying a home will have to wait until their credit is clear enough to secure a loan.

# Methods

The surveys were conducted in eight cities: Atlanta, GA; Des Moines, IA; Bridgeport, CT; Phoenix, AZ;<sup>24</sup> Providence, RI; St. Louis, MO; Tulsa, OK; and West Palm Beach, FL. The primary venues for conducting the surveys were Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) sites either run by or associated with the local research partner participating in this project. VITA programs offer free tax help to people whose incomes were \$36,000 or less in 2004. They are generally located at community and neighborhood centers, libraries, schools, shopping malls and other convenient locations. In some instances (St. Louis, Des Moines, Tulsa and West Palm Beach), surveys were done at more than one VITA site. In four sites (Atlanta, Bridgeport, Phoenix and Providence), field organizers for ACORN—the local research partner in these locations—conducted some surveys by going door-to-door in the community. (All of the Atlanta surveys were collected in this fashion.) The surveys not collected at VITA sites have been excluded from the aggregate data reported here, and the analysis of the aggregate data that follows encompasses seven of the eight cities. The section that reports site-specific findings includes all of the data.

The survey was conducted between January and April 2005 using a written questionnaire developed by The Access Project and the local partner organizations. To minimize selection bias, every person who registered for tax assistance at a VITA site during a time the survey was being conducted was asked to participate, and was assured both of confidentiality and that declining participation would not affect the receipt of services. The questionnaire was either administered by a surveyor who had been trained on this specific instrument or was self-administered by the respondent, with trained surveyors nearby to supply needed clarifications. Information supplied for the survey was self-reported and not verified with other sources. The questionnaires were available in English and Spanish.

The VITA sites were chosen as the main venue for this survey because they provided a reliable pool of low- and moderate-income working people who are especially vulnerable to the hazards of medical debt.

Many people who seek tax assistance at VITA sites do so in order to claim the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and receive a refund without incurring the expense of a commercial tax preparer.<sup>25</sup> The credit is available to people with income from wages up to a certain level—about \$35,000 in tax year 2004 for families with more than one child, and somewhat less for families with one or no children. The maximum credit in 2004 was \$4,300 for workers with two or more children, \$2,604 for workers with one child, and \$390 for workers not raising a child; the average EITC in 2003 was \$2,100. If the credit exceeds a family's tax liability, that excess is refunded to the family as a direct payment.<sup>26</sup>

A total of 2,136 surveys were completed. Of that total, 1,692 (79%) were completed at VITA sites and 444 (21%) were collected through door-to-door canvassing.

# IV. Survey Findings<sup>27</sup>

## Demographic Characteristics of Survey Respondents

The VITA site sample was racially and ethnically diverse. Of the 1,692 survey respondents, about a third (34%) of the survey respondents were African-American, 29 percent were white, 3 percent were American Indian and 2 percent were Asian or Asian-American. Fourteen percent identified themselves as Hispanic (of any race). Just under one-fifth (18%) of respondents did not identify a race or ethnicity.

Sixty-nine percent of the sample were not married; 31 percent were married. Households had an average of about 1.6 children, 28 percent had no children, 28 percent had one, and 45 percent had two or more. A large majority (91%) of respondents were under age 65.

Because many people use the VITA sites to claim the Earned Income Credit, we assumed that survey respondents would fall largely within the income range eligible for the credit. This proved to be the case: 38 percent of the sample had incomes below \$15,000, 34 percent between \$15,000 and \$25,000, and 20 percent between \$25,000 and \$35,000. Only eight percent of our sample had incomes over \$35,000, beyond the range of eligibility for the tax credit.

To determine whether our sample was representative of a larger national group of low-income families, we compared the demographics of our sample with national data on tax filers who claim the earned income credit. In general, the incomes of our sample were slightly higher, there was a somewhat higher proportion of childless families (though a vast majority—73 percent—had children), and respondents to

our survey were more likely to be married than the typical EITC recipient. In short, our sample is slightly better off economically than the EITC population overall, suggesting the possibility that the sample's experience with medical debt would understate the experience of a typical EITC family. (See Table 1)


Table 1. Demographics of Survey Respondents

	% of VITA site sample <sup>1</sup>	% of EITC tax filers nationally <sup>2</sup>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>		
African-American	34	
White	29	
Hispanic (any race)	14	
American Indian	3	
Asian/Asian American	2	
2 or more races	1	
Race unknown	18	
<b>Age</b>		
Under 65	91	
65 and older	9	
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Married	31	22
Unmarried	69	78
<b>Number of Children</b>		
Average	1.6	
Zero	28	19
One	28	39
Two or more	45	42
<b>Income</b>		
Less than \$15,000	38	55
\$15,000 to less than \$25,000	34	30
\$25,000 to less than \$35,000	20	
\$25,000 and above	28	15
\$35,000 and above	8	

### Sources


<sup>1</sup>The Access Project medical debt and housing survey, 2005.

<sup>2</sup>Income and number of children: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, Publication #1304, Tax Year 2002. Marital status: Carasso and Steuerle, "Projected Distribution of EITC Claims in 2003." Urban Institute and Brookings Institution Tax Policy Center, 7/19/2004.




## Medical Debt


**Prevalence and sources of debt** Of the 1,692 in the sample, nearly half (46%) of survey respondents reported having medical debt (Fig. 1). Slightly more respondents with debt said they had health insurance than not at the time they received the care for which they owe money (Fig. 2). Most of the people with debt (70%) owed hospitals and nearly half (44%) owed doctors. Smaller but significant numbers owed many other types of medical providers (Fig. 3). Even aside from hospital bills, 74 percent of survey respondents with medical debt owed other providers; 27 percent owed more than two types of providers.



**Characteristics of debtors** Though there were variations in medical debt by race and ethnicity, the more important finding is that all racial and ethnic categories were substantially affected. Among the respondents who identified their race or ethnicity, Asian Americans (53%), African Americans (52%) and Hispanics of any race (50%) were most likely to report having medical debt. The lowest incidence of medical debt was among American Indians, but at 40 percent the proportion was still high.<sup>28</sup> People at various income levels—at least in the range covered by the survey—were also similarly affected. Between 43 and 49 percent of people in all income classes—from below \$15,000 to over \$35,000—said they had medical debt.



Non-elderly people were much more likely to face the burdens of medical debt than people age 65 and above (47% vs. 29%). Possible explanations for this is that programs for seniors such as Medicare are largely effective in protecting them from financial difficulties, and that seniors are relatively insulated from cutbacks in private insurance or state Medicaid programs that provide the bulk of coverage to younger people.





**Financial assistance for debtors** Three-quarters of respondents with medical debt (78%) received no offers of financial assistance from their medical providers. Of those who did, the most common forms of assistance were a payment plan over time or information about public programs to which they might apply. Only six percent said they had been offered a discount on their bill (Fig. 4).<sup>29</sup>

### Housing Problems and Financial Effects of Medical Debt

**Existence of housing problems** More than one quarter (27%) of survey respondents with medical debt said they had housing problems that resulted from the debt (Fig. 5). The most common problems were inability to qualify for a mortgage (11%), inability to pay rent or mortgage (10%), being turned down from renting a home (7%), and being forced to move to less expensive housing (5%) (Fig. 6). A small number of respondents said that they were evicted (2%) and/or were now homeless (2%) because of medical debt.



**Significance of health insurance** Respondents without health insurance were more likely to have larger debts; about 38 percent of respondents with health insurance at the time they



acquired medical debt had debts smaller than \$500. Among those with debt, those who were not insured were more likely to say that the debt led to a housing problem. But a significant number—about one in five—of those who had been insured experienced housing problems as well (Fig. 8).


### Relationship between credit reporting and housing problems

Many respondents with medical debt did not know whether the debt was included in their credit report (46%). Of those who did know, nearly three in five said that it was included (Fig. 7). These people were twice as likely to report having housing problems than those who reported that their medical debt was not on their credit report (39% versus 18%; Fig. 8).




The survey suggests that debt does not have to be particularly large nor particularly old to affect one's credit standing. While larger debts were more likely to appear on a credit report (77% of debts greater than \$1,000), smaller debts can harm credit as well. About one in six respondents (16%) who said medical debt was on their credit report had debts under \$500, and over one-third (35%) had debts under \$1,000. Similarly, while medical debt older than one year was highly likely (78%) to be on a credit report, a quarter of debts (23%) less than a year old were reported as well.

*Relationship between characteristics of debt and income with housing problems* The amount of outstanding medical bills was distributed fairly evenly from relatively low (less than \$500) to very high (\$5,000 or more), with bills in the \$1,000 to \$5,000 range most common. About half (52%) of people with outstanding bills of \$5,000 or more reported having a housing problem resulting from medical debt. While people with larger bills were more likely to face a problem, those with much smaller bills were not immune: 12 percent of respondents with medical debts of less than \$500 reported housing problems as well (Fig. 9).



Longer-term medical debt was more strongly associated with housing problems than shorter-term debt. Over half (55%) of respondents with debt more than five years old reported housing problems. Still, 16 percent of those with debt less than a year old reported problems as well (Fig. 9).

Income level also appeared to have little association with resulting housing problems. Similarly, all racial and ethnic groups reported a substantial level of housing problems; there were no statistically significant differences<sup>30</sup> among them (Fig. 10).



*Relationship between collection actions and housing problems* Half of respondents with medical debt (50%) had been contacted by collection agencies. Five percent had been sued for the debt. People who had been contacted by collection agencies or who had been sued were more likely to report that the debt

resulted in housing problems (Fig. 8) than those who had not. There was also an association between housing problems and actions resulting from legal judgments such as home liens, other property liens, and wage garnishment but the numbers of people who experienced these actions were small in the survey sample.

Bankruptcy was a minor effect of medical debt in this survey, relative to other consequences; seven percent said they had filed personal bankruptcy since owing money for medical bills. This is not out of line with the rate of personal bankruptcy nationally, however: about 1.5 percent of U.S. households declare bankruptcy each year.<sup>31</sup>

## PERSONAL ACCOUNT:

### Insured, in debt, and forced to move


Nancy, a resident of Scottsdale, Arizona, is a mother of two and grandmother of one. In late 2002, while taking care of her ailing mother who was suffering from dementia and vascular disease, Nancy was diagnosed with colon cancer. Although she had medical insurance through her late husband's retiree benefits, the costs of surgery and chemotherapy were only partially covered by the health care plan. In addition to Nancy's own medical bills of about \$12,000, the cost of her mother's nursing home stay and respite care totaled over \$30,000. After her mother's death, Nancy was unable to make mortgage payments on her parents' house and was forced to sell the home to avoid foreclosure. Unable to afford rent on her own, Nancy has since moved into her sister and niece's two-bedroom apartment where one of them has to sleep on the couch each night. Even after selling the house, in addition to \$3,678 of her own medical debt, Nancy still has upwards of \$20,000 in medical debt for her mother's care on her credit card. Nancy was advised to file for bankruptcy, but when she investigated she determined she could not afford to hire a lawyer to file the case. She says that she has learned to live with bill collectors constantly pestering her at home trying to collect her debts.

## Site-Specific Findings

Medical debt and resulting housing problems were frequent in every site that participated in the survey. A table containing site-specific findings appears in Appendix B. This table incorporates the data collected through door-to-door surveying, in addition to the surveys from the tax assistance sites (see "Methods" section). Some of the key findings follow:

- Atlanta had the highest prevalence of medical debt, Tulsa and Phoenix the lowest (Fig 11).
- Medical debt was fairly evenly distributed across income categories in most sites. The exception was Bridgeport, where medical debt was more likely for lower income respondents.
- Asian Americans reported a disproportionately high level of medical debt in Des Moines and Tulsa. Respondents of Hispanic descent had a disproportionately high level of medical debt in Palm Beach and Tulsa, but reported medical debt at a low rate in St. Louis. Respondents who identified themselves as being of more than one race had higher levels of medical debt in Tulsa.
- With the exception of Atlanta, at least one out of six respondents with medical debt in each site reported that the debt resulted in a housing problem. Bridgeport respondents most frequently (36%) said the debt resulted in a housing problem. At other sites the proportion ranged from 16 to 31 percent (Fig 11).

- Respondents in most sites reported they were insured at the time the debt was incurred about half the time (Phoenix, Palm Beach, Providence, Tulsa) or more (Atlanta, Bridgeport, St. Louis). Four of ten respondents in Des Moines said they were insured.
- Medical debt was commonly reported to be on credit reports. At least four in ten respondents (Tulsa) and as many as eight in ten (Atlanta) said the debt was on their credit report.
- Respondents in four sites (Atlanta, Palm Beach, Providence, St. Louis) were more likely than not to say they were contacted by a collection agency about their medical debts, and were about equally likely as not in two sites (Bridgeport, Tulsa). A minority of respondents in Des Moines (30%) and Phoenix (44%) said they were contacted.



# V. Discussion

This large-scale survey, conducted in eight locations across the country, verifies a central finding of a number of recent studies: medical debt is a common phenomenon. In this case, it was present among nearly half of the survey respondents, most of whom were low and moderate income, working age adults. Many of these adults were present where the surveys were conducted—volunteer tax assistance centers—because they had employment income and were eligible for the federal Earned Income Tax Credit. A majority had health insurance at the time they acquired the debt. Most had children living with them. In short, working families of modest means are at high risk for medical debt and its attendant consequences. Past research indicates that these consequences include restricted access to medical care for all family members, depletion of savings, and harassment by collection agencies, all of which constitute barriers to economic advancement and healthier and more secure lives.

## Housing and credit problems

The survey also introduces new information about consequences of medical debt. A quarter of the respondents with debt said they had experienced some type of housing problems as a result of it. These problems include being unable to qualify for a mortgage, to make mortgage or rent payments, to rent a home, or the need to move to a less costly home. These findings establish medical debt as a barrier to still other important elements of economic advancement, namely asset development and housing security.<sup>32</sup>

An analysis of the demographic and financial characteristics of the survey respondents whose medical debt led to housing problems suggests that the risk of such an outcome is widespread. A significant portion of respondents with medical debt—regardless of their age, income, marital status and ethnicity—had housing problems as a result. Within the scope of this survey, no group appears to have been invulnerable to the effects on housing situations of medical debt.

That medical debt commonly leads to housing problems in this study should not be a surprise given the general prevalence of medical debt among low and moderate income families, who tend not to have much money to spare for unplanned expenses. What is sobering is that even relatively small levels of medical debt—\$500 or less—can create housing and other economic hardships for families. These disadvantages seem out of proportion with the modest debt that brings them on, and \$500 may constitute a significant percentage of family income. An additional finding is that housing problems become more likely as medical debt lingers.

Some of the housing problems reported in this study simply reflect the fact that medical debt, because it lays a claim to families' available resources, diminishes the amount available to use for other purposes, such as rent payments or home maintenance. Other problems, however, are indicative of deeper issues. The inability to qualify for a mortgage or even to rent an apartment, for example, is a signal of damaged credit, which has broad ramifications.

Medical debt affected the credit of at least a third of the survey respondents with debt, and these people were more than twice as likely as those whose medical debt did not mar their credit to report a housing problem. This is especially significant because nearly half of those surveyed said they did not know whether medical debt was on their credit report. Our findings suggest that those who are not yet aware of their credit status are more likely than not to find their credit hurt—an unwelcome surprise when trying to buy a home or access other credit.

### The importance of credit reporting

Barriers to obtaining housing are but one way that damaged credit affects people's lives. It also limits access to affordable credit for other purposes, such as purchasing a car, which may in turn limit employment possibilities. Employers may themselves consult credit reports in making hiring decisions. Insurers may consult credit reports in underwriting automobile and home coverage. In some cases, people with low credit scores have been required to pay higher utility deposits. And the effects of damaged credit linger; a delinquent account, regardless of size, can remain on a credit report for seven years.<sup>33</sup> This risk is often multiplied by the fact that a single medical episode can result in many bills—from a hospital, doctor, ambulance, laboratory, and so on—and, therefore, many scars on a credit report.

Unlike many other types of debt, medical debt usually can only harm a credit rating, not help it. When medical providers and their collection agents report debt to credit bureaus, they typically do so only when payments have not been made. This negative-only treatment of medical debt is all the more inequitable because it is one

of the few types of debt that are involuntarily acquired. Thus this "accidental debt," even if relatively small, essentially acts as a sickness tax—on top of the bills themselves and possible lost employment income—by damaging credit. And this tax is long-term, perhaps permanent.

### Inadequacy of insurance "protection"

One other finding deserves specific attention. Having health insurance did not provide adequate protection from housing problems in many cases of medical debt, although those who did not have health insurance at the time their medical debt was incurred were more likely to experience housing problems. And, although the debts of those who had health insurance tended to be smaller, even small amounts could damage their credit status. According to information from other sources, families with incomes below twice the federal poverty line (about \$36,800 for a family of four) had out-of-pocket health care expenses of about \$2,800 in 2002,<sup>34</sup> about 7.6 percent of income for a typically-sized family. Added to this, a debt of even \$500—another 1.4 percent of income—is significant. Seen in this context, the burden is clear.

That health insurance seems not to have served its fundamental purpose for many survey respondents—protecting families against great financial exposure—warrants further attention. The current trend of passing more of the financial burden of health care to individuals through higher deductibles and copayments in the name of "individual responsibility," combined with rapidly increasing health care costs, particularly for the chronically ill, raises crucial questions about the deteriorating adequacy of insurance that should be addressed in the policy arena.

# VI. Policy Recommendations

So what can be done? The effects uncovered in this survey are likely only to worsen as health care costs increase, the numbers of uninsured rise, and those fortunate enough to maintain health coverage are required to absorb more of the cost. Medical debt is affecting people's access to housing at the same time that housing is becoming increasingly unaffordable for families of modest means,<sup>35</sup> and the medically-related credit problems that cause these housing problems hinder families' economic advancement in many other ways.

Legislators and other policy makers, as well as members of the sectors that contribute to this problem—medical providers, health insurers, employers and lenders—should consider a number of areas in which they might respond to reduce the sickness tax that medical debt imposes. If the market and private actions are unable to relieve the problem, legislation or regulation requiring some of these changes would be an appropriate next step.

## Medical Providers

Medical providers can contribute to solving the problem by reducing the amount of medical debt they create, and by changing practices that result in additional financial difficulties for patients. For example, publicity in the last few years about hospitals' practices of billing uninsured patients their highest fees and pursuing them using overly-aggressive collection practices have led to greater attention to charity care and collections policies. Some hospitals have revamped their practices by offering greater discounts to low-income uninsured patients and restricting practices like wage garnishments and property liens.<sup>36</sup> Still, many hospitals have not addressed their policies at all, and some that have are not making their policies well known to the general public.<sup>37</sup> Hospitals can also limit the creation of medical debt—and enhance their revenues—by adequately screening patients for eligibility in public insurance programs such as Medicaid, and by agreeing to reasonable payment plans that low-income patients can fulfill.

The significant numbers of respondents in this study who reported debt to doctors and other non-hospital providers also remind us that these other providers have not been held to account on this issue to the same extent as hospitals. Further scrutiny and standards regarding providers' relationships with collection agents, lenders and credit bureaus would bring focus to additional policy solutions.

## Insurers and Employers

That people with health insurance are affected by medical debt and its consequences almost to the same degree as those without calls into question the adequacy of some insurance in protecting policy holders from financial catastrophe. New developments in health insurance products—health savings accounts, high deductible plans, limited benefit policies, "consumer-driven health care"—put families with little excess income at risk and challenge the very notion of health insurance. (Many of these plans have deductibles of \$1,000 or more, well above the level of debt that harmed the

respondents in our study.) Employers who want to continue offering coverage to their employees in the face of ever-rising premiums often have little choice but to pass along more of the cost of the coverage, increasing the risk of medical debt for their employees. Little has been demanded of insurers, however, many of which have enjoyed robust profits in the last few years.<sup>38</sup> Standards for adequate coverage, including cost sharing obligations that are proportionate to family incomes, might be explored, as well as programs to provide benefits to the communities in which insurers operate. This year, for example, the four Pennsylvania Blue Cross plans agreed to cre-

ate a "Community Health Reinvestment Fund," which could blaze a trail for the recognition of insurers' community obligations elsewhere.<sup>39</sup>

Further research is also needed to understand the relative influences of various shortcomings in health insurance—excessively high deductibles, breaks in coverage, uncovered services—on medical debt and its resulting problems. Knowledge of this sort will help policy makers determine how to set standards for adequate coverage, so that the purpose of health insurance as financial protection might be restored and maintained.

## **PERSONAL ACCOUNT:**

### **Insurance without protection: on-going health costs lead to foreclosure**

Beverly always dreamed of becoming financially independent so she could support herself after retirement. When she was diagnosed with kidney cancer three years ago and thyroid cancer a year and a half later, medical expenses made this dream unattainable.

Beverly is a 58 year-old woman who has one son and three grandchildren. For the past nineteen years, she has worked as the office secretary at a union, the Communications Workers of America, in St. Louis, Missouri. Despite consistent health insurance coverage, the costs of cancer treatment have kept Beverly in a state of perpetual debt. In addition to a \$1,500 per year deductible, Beverly must advance out of her own pocket the very high costs of necessary procedures, such as CAT scans, as well as her prescription medications. As soon as the health plan reimburses her for these costs, she must pay again for the next round of treatments and procedures. Due to these soaring medical expenses, Beverly was unable to keep up with the mortgage payments on the house she owned for twenty years; she lost her home to foreclosure and moved in with her recently widowed mother. Beverly's doctors recommend that she remain stress-free, take time off from work, or work part time. Unfortunately, to maintain her insurance coverage and income level, she has no choice but to work full time.

## **Lenders and Affiliated Organizations**

A consensus appears to exist among those who make and advise others about lending decisions that medical debt should be considered differently from other types of personal debt. Fair Isaac and Company (FICO), a major credit scoring organization, considers medical debt to be "atypical and non-predictive" of overall credit worthiness, for example.<sup>40</sup> While lenders might reasonably be asked to develop explicit policies for segregating medical debts in considering an applicant's eligibility for credit, such an approach presumes that medical debt is readily identifiable as such on a credit report. If a delinquent account is listed by the name of a collection agency rather than a medical provider, or if a bill has been paid with a credit card or at the expense of another bill that therefore replaces the delinquent medical bill, medical debt might not be identifiable as such. Lenders, who might like to discount or disregard medical debt in their decision making thus often do not have sufficient information to implement such policies.

Given the atypical nature of medical debt and the commonly expressed policy to treat it differently, one might question the need for health care providers to report these debts to credit bureaus at all. Lenders, creditors, credit bureaus and regulators should consider ways to prevent medical debt from ever tarnishing a credit record, including rules to prohibit medical providers and their agents from reporting medical debt to credit agencies.

**A consensus appears to exist among those who make and advise others about lending decisions that medical debt should be considered differently from other types of personal debt**

# VII. Conclusion

If there is a more vulnerable circumstance for a person to be in than being ill, it is probably to be ill and in debt. This report has shown that such vulnerability is not rare among our survey respondents. To compound this, medical debt often results in housing and credit problems, which may in turn bring about further crippling financial difficulties.

Repairing the health care system—controlling costs, improving quality, ensuring access and eliminating disparities—is a challenge of national scope that has, to date, been maddeningly elusive. Smaller victories are achievable, however. One place to look is eliminating the financial penalty imposed on people for getting sick. Remedies—on the part of policy makers, medical providers, insurers and lenders—are at hand. All that is needed is action.



# Appendices

## Appendix A

### Tables Showing Aggregate Data

The following tables reported data collected only at the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) sites (n=1,692). See the "Methods" section of the report for further explanation.

<b>Table A1.</b>	
<b>Respondents with Medical Debt</b>	
n = 1,692	
	Number
All Respondents	1,692
Number with Medical Debt	784
Percentage with Medical Debt	46.3
Of Respondents with Medical Debt (%):	
Health Insurance at time debt acquired	
Yes	41.0
No	40.1
Other*	8.9
Type of provider owed	
Hospital	70.3
Doctor	44.3
Clinic	18.7
Lab	19.8
Ambulance	14.9
Dentist	9.4
Pharmacy	4.2
Provider offered financial assistance	
No offer	77.7
Payment plan over time	13.6
Informed of public programs	7.5
Discount on bill	5.5
Help applying for loan	1.3
Amount of debt	
< \$500	28.7
\$500-< \$1,000	21.9
\$1,000-< \$5,000	33.8
\$5,000 +	15.6
How long had debt	
< 1 year	36.4
1 to 2 years	36.4
> 2 but < 5 years	18.7
5 years or more	8.6
*Includes both "Don't Know" and families with both insured and uninsured among family members with medical debt.	

<b>Table A2.</b>	
<b>Housing Problems and Other Financial Effects of Medical Debt</b>	
Respondents with Medical Debt	784
Number of Med. Debtors with Housing Problems	213
Percentage of Medical Debtors with Housing Problems	27.2
Type of Housing Problem	
- Unable to qualify for mortgage	11.1
- Unable to pay rent or mortgage	10.3
- Rental application rejected	6.6
- Forced to move to less expensive housing	5.4
- Unable to do home repair/maintenance	4.1
- Unable to pay property taxes	2.6
- Unable to maintain housing, now homeless	2.2
- Refinanced/took second mortgage to pay bills	1.9
- Evicted	1.7
Medical debt on credit report	
- Yes	30.6
- No	23.5
- Don't know	45.9

**Table A3.  
Association between Housing Problems and  
Selected Characteristics**

**Percentage with housing problems**

Health insurance at time of debt	
Yes	30.9
No	20.3
Medical debt on credit report	
Yes	39.4
No	17.5
Amount of debt	
< \$500	12.0
\$500- < \$1,000	19.9
\$1,000- < \$5,000	31.6
\$5,000 +	51.9
How long had debt	
< 1 year	16.5
1 to 2 years	27.1
> 2 but < 5 years	36.6
5 years or more	55.0
Income	
Less than \$15,000	29.2
\$15,000 to less than \$25,000	27.2
\$25,000 to less than \$35,000	30.5
\$35,000 and above	17.3
Race/Ethnicity	
African-American	25.5
White	26.5
American Indian	41.2
Asian/Asian American	31.6
Hispanic (any race)	27.0
2 or more races/race unknown	30.0
Contacted by collection agency	
Yes	36.8
No	17.7
Sued in small claims court	
Yes	69.0
No	24.8



## Appendix B

### Site-Specific Data

This table presents all of the survey data collected for the survey, from both the VITA sites (n1=1,692) and through door-to-door canvassing (n2=444; Total N= 2,136). See "Methods" section of report for further explanation.

Number of surveys	154	151	291	415	88	383	257	397
Percentage with Medical Debt	76%	44%	55%	36%	43%	53%	35%	48%
by income:								
<\$15,000	65%	56%	56%	31%	50%	56%	37%	47%
\$15,000-\$25,000	82%	45%	52%	41%	55%	51%	27%	62%
\$25,001-\$35,000	80%	41%	55%	37%	*	48%	36%	48%
>\$35,000	70%	33%	58%	32%	*	56%	31%	50%
by race/ethnicity:								
African American	74%	48%	52%	35%	50%	56%	32%	56%
White	*	36%	58%	40%	41%	48%	34%	46%
Asian/Asian American	*	*	67%	*	*	*	45%	*
American Indian	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%	*
Hispanic (any race)	82%	39%	48%	39%	36%	38%	45%	60%
Multiple races/Other	*	47%	*	34%	45%	57%	47%	27%
Percentage with Med. Debt who reported:								
Housing problem due to medical bill	3%	36%	16%	31%	26%	31%	29%	30%
Medical debt on credit report	82%	59%	49%	65%	50%	57%	41%	71%
Insured at time debt acquired	64%	59%	39%	49%	52%	64%	49%	47%
Contacted by collection agency	71%	49%	30%	44%	71%	55%	48%	60%
Sued for debt	3%	13%	4%	5%	13%	6%	7%	2%
Bankruptcy	14%	6%	3%	9%	3%	9%	6%	5%
	Atlanta	Bridgeport	Des Moines	Phoenix	Providence	St. Louis	Tulsa	West Palm Beach
*Fewer than 10 cases in category								

# Endnotes

<sup>1</sup> Bridgeport, Connecticut; Des Moines, Iowa; Phoenix, Arizona; Providence, Rhode Island; St. Louis, Missouri; Tulsa, Oklahoma; and West Palm Beach, Florida.

<sup>2</sup> For example, 55 percent of people in a national poll said that uninsured people are still able to get the medical care they need from doctors and hospitals. Kaiser Family Foundation Health Poll Report, July 2001.

<sup>3</sup> Dennis Andrulis, Lisa Duchon, Carol Pryor and Nanette Goodman, *Paying for Health Care When You're Uninsured: How Much Support Does the Safety Net Offer?* The Access Project, 2003.

<sup>4</sup> *The Consequences of Medical Debt: Evidence from Three Communities*. The Access Project, 2003; Carol Pryor and Deborah Gurewich, *Getting Care but Paying the Price: How Medical Debt Leaves Many in Massachusetts Facing Tough Choices*. The Access Project, 2004.

<sup>5</sup> Michelle M. Doty, Jennifer N. Edwards, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, "Seeing Red: Americans Driven into Debt by Medical Bills." The Commonwealth Fund, August 2005.

<sup>6</sup> USA Today/Kaiser Family Foundation/Harvard School of Public Health, *Health Care Costs Survey*, August 2005.

<sup>7</sup> For example, National Public Radio/Kaiser Family Foundation/John F. Kennedy School of Government, *National Survey on Health Care*, 2002.

<sup>8</sup> Andrulis et al., *Paying for Health Care When You're Uninsured*.

<sup>9</sup> Deborah Gurewich, Jeffrey Prottas, Robert Seifert and Susan Seager, "Medical Debt and Consumer Credit Counseling Services." *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* 15:3 (August 2004).

<sup>10</sup> \$36,800 for a family of four in 2003, when the survey reporting these data was conducted.

<sup>11</sup> Doty et al.

<sup>12</sup> Doty et al.

<sup>13</sup> Cathy Schoen, Michelle M. Doty, Sara R. Collins and Alyssa L. Holmgren, "Insured but Not Protected: How Many Adults are Underinsured?" *Health Affairs Web Exclusive*, June 2005. An "underinsured" adult is defined in this study as one with health insurance whose family out-of-pocket medical expenses represent 10% or more of income or 5% or more of income in low-income families, or whose deductible represents 5% or more of income. It probably underestimates the number of people whose insurance is inadequate because some of the criteria reflect policies that have actually failed to protect and not those that potentially fail to protect.

<sup>14</sup> Doty et al.

<sup>15</sup> David Himmelstein, Elizabeth Warren, Deborah Thorne and Steffie Woolhandler, "Illness and Injury as Contributors to Bankruptcy," *Health Affairs Web Exclusive*, February 2005.

<sup>16</sup> Andrulis et al.

<sup>17</sup> Pryor and Gurewich, *Getting Care but Paying the Price*.

<sup>18</sup> Schoen et al.

<sup>19</sup> Jennifer Steinhauer, "Will Doctors Make Your Credit Sick?" *New York Times*, February 4, 2001, p. B13.

<sup>20</sup> Doty et al.; Himmelstein et al.; Rachel Banov, "The Effect of Health Insurance on Savings Outcomes in Individual Development Accounts." Center for Social Development, Washington University, 2005.

<sup>21</sup> Grace Rollins, *Uncharitable Care: Yale-New Haven Hospital's Charity Care and Collections Practices*. Connecticut Center for a New Economy, January 2003; Lucette Lagnado, "Twenty Years and Still Paying," *The Wall Street Journal*, March 13, 2003.

<sup>22</sup> Lucette Lagnado, "Hospitals Try Extreme Measures to Collect Their Overdue Debts," *The Wall Street Journal*, October 30, 2003.

<sup>23</sup> Himmelstein et al., op. cit.

<sup>24</sup> Actual surveying was done in Mesa and Glendale, communities in the Phoenix metropolitan area.

<sup>25</sup> Robert Greenstein, *The Earned Income Tax Credit: Boosting Employment, Aiding the Working Poor*. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, July 2005.

<sup>26</sup> Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, *Facts About the Earned Income Credit*. <http://www.cbpp.org/eic2005/eic05-fact-book.pdf>, accessed August 15, 2005.

<sup>27</sup> The bulk of findings reported here are from the VITA subsample of 1,692 respondents. The "Site-Specific Findings" section reports on the full sample of 2,136.

<sup>28</sup> Forty-four survey respondents identified themselves as American Indian.

<sup>29</sup> The survey did not ask whether the original bill may have reflected a sliding fee scale based on a person's income. To the extent that was the case, this statistic may underreport the percentage of people who received a discount on their bill.

<sup>30</sup>  $p < 0.1$

<sup>31</sup> Calculation based on data from the U.S. Bankruptcy Court and the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

<sup>32</sup> Home ownership has been shown to be a major instrument of wealth accumulation for middle class families, as well as having significant inter-generational effects for low-income families, such as the increased likelihood of a homeowner's children owning homes themselves and having higher incomes and more advanced education. See, for example, Thomas P. Boehm and Alan M. Schlottmann, "Housing and Wealth Accumulation: Intergenerational Impacts." *Low-Income Homeownership Working Paper Series*, Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, October 2001.

<sup>33</sup> Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681c

<sup>34</sup> Stephen R. Machlin and Marc W. Zodet, "Family Health Care Expenses, by Income Level, 2002." Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Statistical Brief #64, January 2005.

<sup>35</sup> "U.S. Housing Prices Rise 20 Percent Nationwide, While Wages for Key Community Workers Remain Relatively Flat." Center for Housing Policy press release, August 9, 2005. <http://www.nhc.org/index/News-PR-Center05P2P-080905>, accessed August 15, 2005.

<sup>36</sup> The Healthcare Financial Management Association's "Patient-Friendly Billing" project ([www.patientfriendlybilling.org](http://www.patientfriendlybilling.org)) has provided valuable leadership to hospitals on these issues.

<sup>37</sup> Bill Lottero and Carol Pryor, *Voluntary Commitments*. The Access Project, May 2005.

<sup>38</sup> For example, the Indianapolis Business Journal (Sept. 5, 2005) reported an analyst's estimate that WellPoint will earn profits of \$2.5 billion this year, on revenues of \$45 billion; UnitedHealth Group's corporate filings indicate a 30% increase in profits from the second quarter of 2004 to the second quarter of 2005; Humana also reported a 30% increase for the first half of 2005.

<sup>39</sup> Bill Toland, "Blues insurers helping fund programs that provide health coverage to poor." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, February 8, 2005.

<sup>40</sup> Robert W. Seifert, "The Demand Side of Financial Exploitation: The Case of Medical Debt." *Housing Policy Debate* 15:3 (2004).

# Acknowledgements

The survey project of which this report is the culmination was a collaborative effort of several organizations. Staff of The Access Project coordinated the work and produced the final report, but content of the report is the result of hard work in the survey sites to organize the surveying, train staff and volunteers to collect the survey data, and review and comment on the analysis of the data and drafts of the report. This report is the product of those efforts, and we would like to thank the following people for their participation:

ACORN: Liz Wolff, National Research Director; Field Organizers Darryl Durham (Atlanta), David Lagstein and Ashley Kremser (Bridgeport), Susan Halverson and Sarah Markey (Phoenix), Aimee Olin (Providence), and ACORN field staff and members.

Des Moines: Chuck Palmer and Jay Kerrigan of ISED; Becky Miles-Polka of Making Connections Des Moines; Jerri Scott and Sharon Zanders-Ackiss of CCI; Pam Carmichael and Lynn Lamb of Home, Inc.; and Rachel Lewis of the Neighborhood Health Initiative.

West Palm Beach: Carter Elliott, Terry Bozarth and Nancy Chaplin of the United Way of Palm Beach County.

St. Louis: Christine Duden-Street and Sidney Watson from the Center for Health Law Studies, St. Louis University School of Law; Lara Granich and Danielle Christmas from St. Louis Area Jobs with Justice; Margarida Jorge from the Missouri Citizen Education Fund; and Sara Howard from the SEIU Missouri State Council.

Tulsa: Steven Dow, Pam Smith and Brandi Holleyman from the Community Action Program of Tulsa County.

In addition, Rachel Lewis and the staff of the Neighborhood Health Initiative in Des Moines, and Pam Carmichael and the staff of Home, Inc. in Des Moines played important roles in pilot testing the survey instrument and offering valuable ideas for improving the questionnaire.

At The Access Project, Nancy Kohn was the lifeline to the survey operations in the field, overseeing the training of surveyors, monitoring progress on data collection, generally communicating and troubleshooting with the sites—no mean feat. Mathilda Ruwe of Brandeis University conducted the data analysis and provided expert interpretation and valuable input to the analytical sections of the report; Jeff Prottas worked with Mathilda in guiding the analysis. Andrew Cohen, a Jewish Organizing Initiative Fellow at The Access Project, researched and wrote the personal profiles in the report. Meg Baker, Mark Rukavina, Bill Lottero, Carol Pryor and Cathy Dunham all contributed in the customary, collegial way that keeps The Access Project lean yet formidable. Laurie Covens assisted with communications strategy, and Karen Waters with systems support.

Generous support from the W.K. Kellogg Foundation, the Annie E. Casey Foundation, the Missouri Foundation for Health, and the Quantum Foundation made this project possible.







The Access Project  
89 South Street, Suite 404  
Boston, MA 02111  
(617) 654-9911  
Fax: (617) 654-9922  
[www.accessproject.org](http://www.accessproject.org)

Designed by: PureVisual ([www.purevisual.com](http://www.purevisual.com))